

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Mickael Allain

Docket No.: 5284-55PUS
(P3166US)

Application No.: 10/529,989

Group Art Unit: 2431

Filed: October 20, 2005

Examiner: Longbit Chai

Conf. No.: 2271

For: A Method and an Installation for Verifying the Identity of the Sender of a
Telephone Call over an Internet Protocol Network, and a Telephone
Terminal Therefor

INTERVIEW SUMMARY BY APPLICANT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Per the telephone interview with Primary Examiner Longbit Chai on March 12, 2009, Applicant (Applicant's representative) presents the following interview summary, as required by MPEP §713.04 and respectfully requests entry into the record. Applicant thanks Examiner Chai for his courtesy and helpful comments regarding the above-referenced application.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this paper.

REMARKS

Pursuant to MPEP §713.04, Applicant provides the following as forming a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview.

(A) a brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted;

Applicant provided the Examiner with proposed claim amendments (the proposed amendments being reproduced herein) to address the 35 U.S.C. §112 issues raised in the Final Office Action and arguments addressing the primary prior art reference Haukka.

(B) identification of the claims discussed;

1, 11

(C) identification of specific prior art discussed;

Haukka, U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0097584

(D) identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed;

The following proposed claim amendments were discussed.

1. (proposed amendment) A method of verifying an identity of a sender of a telephone call over an Internet network, comprising the steps of:

inserting into a field of a call set-up request frame an encrypted control code containing parameters relating to the identity of a telecommunications terminal from which the telephone call is sent;

decrypting, at a remote call management server, the encrypted control code;

comparing at least one ~~the parameters~~ extracted from the decrypted control code with corresponding information stored in a database hosted in the management server; and

setting up the call as a function of the result of said comparison.

11. (proposed amendment) An installation for verifying an identity of a sender of a telephone call over an Internet network, comprising:

a call management server configured to ~~cause the set-up~~ ~~initiate set-up~~ of a call between calling and called telecommunications terminals as a function of parameters contained in a call set-up request frame sent by the calling telecommunications terminal, the management server comprising:

means for decrypting an encrypted control code inserted into the call set-up request frame, the encrypted control code containing parameters relating to the identity of the calling telecommunications terminal; and

means for comparing at least one parameter ~~the parameters~~ extracted from the control code decrypted by the decrypting means with a corresponding code stored in a database hosted in the server to authorize the set-up of the call as a function of a result of the comparison.

(E) the general thrust of the principal arguments of the applicant and the examiner;

The Applicant indicated that the proposed amendments removed the unsupported disclosure. The Applicant further argued that Haukka does not disclose any form of decryption, but rather used hash functions which are not decryptable.

(F) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed;

None.

In re Appl. of Allain
Appl. No. 10/529,989
Interview Summary by Applicant

(G) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview;

The Examiner agreed that if the above claim amendments were filed in an after-final amendment, they would be entered and acted upon without requiring an RCE. The Applicant's arguments would be directed to issues raised in the Final Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

/Mark Bergner/

Mark Bergner, Reg. No. 45,877
Drinker Biddle & Reath, LLP
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 3700
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1698
(312) 569-1000 (telephone)
(312) 569-3000 (facsimile)

Date: March 13, 2009

CH01/ 25314661.1